

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,196		06/23/2003	Jeffrey P. Burress	15666-002001	6420
26171	7590	08/15/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & F		DSON P.C.		KORNAKOV	, MICHAIL
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1746	
	•			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/601,196 BURRESS, JEFFRE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Kornakov	1746
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re  pply within the statutory minimum of thirt  bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	luna 2003	
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •
	Ex parte quayie, 1000 O.D	. 11, 430 0.0. 210.
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> are subject to restriction and/o	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<u> </u>	an ndority under 25 H.C.C. S	440(a) (d) an (6)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn phonty under 35 U.S.C. §	1 13(a)-(u) of (ī).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		polication No
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received.
•		
Attachment(s)		
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [ Other:	<b>'</b>

Application/Control Number: 10/601,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-34, drawn to a method of maintaining manufacturing equipment, classified in class 134, subclass 22.1.
  - II. Claims 35-49, drawn to a method of manufacturing a printed circuit, classified in class 134, subclass 2.
  - III. Claims 50-61,67,68, drawn to a composition, classified in class 510, subclass 176.
  - IV. Claims 62-66, drawn to another composition, classified in class 510, subclass 176.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are a method of maintaining manufacturing equipment (Group I) and a method of manufacturing a printed circuit (Group II). These inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different effects, namely, the processed equipment or the processed substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,196

Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

3. Inventions of Group III and Group IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product (Group III) is deemed to be useful as an antiseptic agent and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Inventions of Groups III and IV and Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the compositions as per Groups III or IV can be used in the bleaching process.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II, III, IV restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. If the invention of Group I is elected for further prosecution, this invention contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct groups of species of the claimed invention: the species of pH modifier, as per claims 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9; the species of residue, as per claim 11 and claim 26; the species of processing the residue, as per claims 12 or 13 or 14 and claims 27 or 28; the species of oxidant, as per claims 20 or 21 or 22 or 33; sodium carbonate or acetic acid, as per claims 31 or 32.
- 8. If the invention of Group II is elected for further prosecution, this invention contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct groups of species of the claimed invention: the species of pH modifier, as per claims 41 or 42 or 43; the species of oxidant, as per claims 46 or 47 or 48; the species of applying the composition, as per claim 38 or claim 39.
- 9. If the invention of Group III is elected for further prosecution, this invention contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct groups of species of the claimed invention: the species of oxidant as per claims 54 or 56.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,25,35,50 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Art Unit: 1746

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,196 Page 6

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. KODIADOV

Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

08/10/2005